UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,051	04/13/2004	Richard Soltys	110184.411	4088
	7590 12/17/2008 VTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC		EXAMINER	
701 FIFTH AVENUE			RADA, ALEX P	
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

FRANKA.DOCKETING@SEEDIP.COM JCODY@BALLYTECH.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/823,051	SOLTYS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALEX P. RADA	3714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 22-26 and 28-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-26 and 28-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject.	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/823,051 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the amendment filed 2 September 2008 wherein applicant submits arguments and claims 22-26 and 28-33 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimoto et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0064774) in view Verschuur et al. (US 7,077,332).

Regarding claim 22, Fujimoto et al (Fujimoto) discloses a playing card reader comprising: a housing having a receptacle sized to receive a plurality of playing cards (figure 2; item 15 wherein a housing is shown); a transmitter received in the housing (paragraph [0033]); a receiver received in the housing (paragraph [0033]); and at least a first antenna electrically coupled to at least one of the transmitter and the receiver, the first antenna positioned to electro-magnetically interrogate at least some of the playing cards (summary and paragraphs [0033-0034]; wherein the antenna coil 11 uses an induction magnetic field as a transmission medium); and a computer-readable medium storing a mapping that uniquely identifies playing cards carried by each of the playing cards (paragraphs [0031-0033], [0035-0037] and figure 3; wherein each cards has a unique IC chip (wherein the IC chip inherently has to made of conductive material) which identifies each of the cards pass through the

induction magnetic field). Fujimoto is silent in regards to uniquely identifies cards based on a random distribution of conductive material carried by each of the cards.

Regarding claim 23, Fujimoto discloses wherein the first antenna is positioned to electromagnetically interrogate the playing cards one at a time, as each of the playing cards is removed from the housing (paragraphs [0033-0034]).

Regarding claim 24, Fujimoto et al discloses wherein at least a portion of the housing comprises a radio frequency barrier positioned between the receptacle and an exit of the housing, and the first antenna is positioned with respect to the radio frequency barrier and the exit to electromagnetically interrogate the playing cards one at a time, as each of the playing cards is removed from the housing (paragraphs [0033-0034]).

Regarding claims 25-26, Fujimoto discloses wherein the first antenna is positioned to electro-magnetically interrogate a number of the playing cards in the receptacle simultaneously (paragraph [0037]; wherein the recording section records information on the contents of the games such as the rules of game, card combinations etc.).

Regarding claim 28, Fujimoto discloses wherein the transmitter and the receiver take the form of a transceiver (paragraph [0033]).

Verschuur (hereafter Verschuur) teaches a media verification system wherein unique conductive pattern is hidden from sight and differs from other conductivity patterns applied to other printable articles in an effectively random manner. Verschuur further teaches that the print media or printable articles can be tags, tickets, labels, cards, coupons, currency, forms, game pieces, document and other article identifies by or subject to printing. By having uniquely identifying cards based on a random distribution of conductive material carried by each of the cards, one of ordinary skill in the art would yield predictable results by providing assurance that the conductivity pattern are

Art Unit: 3714

distinguishable from each other to prevent counterfeiting and tampering so that the counterfeiter cannot claim more winnings than their due.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Fujimoto to include random distribution of conductive material carried by each of the cards as taught by Verschuur yield predictable results by providing assurance that the conductivity pattern are distinguishable from each other to prevent counterfeiting and tampering so that the counterfeiter cannot claim more winnings than their due.

3. Claims 29-30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimoto et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0064774) in view of Verschuur et al. (US 7,077,332) and McCrea, Jr. (US 6,346,044).

Regarding claim 29, Fujimoto discloses a card reader having a wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to at least a first antenna to electro-magnetically interrogate playing cards (paragraphs [0033-0034]); and a computing system coupled to receive data from the wireless card reader, and the computer system including a computer-readable medium storing a mapping that uniquely identifies playing cards based on each of the playing cards (paragraph [0037]; wherein the recording section records information on the contents of the games such as the rules of game, card combinations etc.). Fujimoto is silent in regards to uniquely identifies cards based on a random distribution of conductive material carried by each of the cards; and a chip reader having at least one wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to a plurality of antennas positioned proximate to respective wagering placement areas to electro-magnetically interrogate wagering chips placed at the wager placement areas.

Regarding claim 30, Fujimoto discloses a card shoe having a receptacle sized and dimensioned for holding a plurality of playing cards, wherein the card reader is housed in the card shoe (figure 1 and paragraph [0034]).

Regarding claim 32, Fujimoto discloses a dealer's hand reader having at least one wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to at a plurality of antennas positioned to electro-magnetically interrogate at least one playing card forming a dealer's initial hand when positioned proximate thereto, the dealer's hand reader coupled to the computing system to provide data thereto (figure 1 items 18, 3B and paragraph [0035]).

Verschuur (hereafter Verschuur) teaches a media verification system wherein unique conductive pattern is hidden from sight and differs from other conductivity patterns applied to other printable articles in an effectively random manner. Verschuur further teaches that the print media or printable articles can be tags, tickets, labels, cards, coupons, currency, forms, game pieces, document and other article identifies by or subject to printing. By having uniquely identifying cards based on a random distribution of conductive material carried by each of the cards, one of ordinary skill in the art would yield predictable results by providing assurance that the conductivity pattern are distinguishable from each other to prevent counterfeiting and tampering so that the counterfeiter cannot claim more winnings than their due.

McCrea, Jr. (McCrea) discloses a chip reader having at least one wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to a plurality of antennas positioned proximate to respective wagering placement areas to electro-magnetically interrogate wagering chips placed at the wager placement areas and a wireless chip reader (col. 8, lines 48-61). By having a chip reader combined with a card reader, one of ordinary skill in the art would combine prior art known methods to yield to predictable results of

providing a fully automated accounting system for accurately and automatically monitoring and recording all gaming chip transactions in a casino, thus reducing theft and fraud within a casino.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Fujimoto to include random distribution of conductive material carried by each of the cards and a chip reader having at least one wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to a plurality of antennas positioned proximate to respective wagering placement areas to electro-magnetically interrogate wagering chips placed at the wager placement areas and a wireless chip reader as taught by Verschuur and McCrea to yield predictable results by providing assurance that the conductivity pattern are distinguishable from each other to prevent counterfeiting and tampering so that the counterfeiter cannot claim more winnings than their due and to combine prior art known methods to yield to predictable results of providing a fully automated accounting system for accurately and automatically monitoring and recording all gaming chip transactions in a casino, thus reducing theft and fraud within a casino.

4. Claim 31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimoto et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0064774) in view of Verschuur et al. (US 7,077,332) and McCrea, Jr. (US 6,346,044) as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of French (5,735,742).

Regarding claim 31, Fujimoto in view of Verschuur and McCrea disclose the claimed invention as discussed above but is silent in regards to a chip tray; and a chip tray reader having at least one wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to at a plurality of antennas positioned in the chip tray to electro-magnetically interrogate wagering chips placed at the chip tray, if any, the chip tray reader coupled to the computing system to provide data thereto.

French discloses a gaming tracking system having a chip tray; and a chip tray reader having at least one wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to at a plurality of antennas positioned in the

chip tray to electro-magnetically interrogate wagering chips placed at the chip tray, if any, the chip tray reader coupled to the computing system to provide data thereto (col. 7, lines 18-30). By having a chip try reader, one of ordinary skill in the art would provide an accounting system that accurately and automatically monitors and records all gaming chip transactions various casino games.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Fujimoto to further include a chip tray; and a chip tray reader having at least one wireless transmitter and receiver coupled to at a plurality of antennas positioned in the chip tray to electro-magnetically interrogate wagering chips placed at the chip tray, if any, the chip tray reader coupled to the computing system to provide data thereto as taught by French to provide an accounting system that accurately and automatically monitors and records all gaming chip transactions various casino games.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-26 and 28-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX P. RADA whose telephone number is (571)272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 09:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,051 Page 8

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/A. P. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714